

Source Number (circle): 1 2 3 4 5 6 optional optional	Source Type: a b c d other (specify):	Source Creator (author):	Date of creation:
Briefly summarise the content of this source: (What is it about? What does it describe? Who is involved? What places or historical events does it refer to?)		Briefly summarise the context of this source: (Where and when was this source created? Is it a primary or secondary source ? What happened before it was created? What effect did it have?)	
Who was the intended audience of this source? (Who was it delivered to? Who was it created for?)		What was the intended purpose of this source? (What caused it to be created?)	
What in this source is fact ? How do you know? What evidence do you have?		What in this source is opinion ? Is there more than one perspective to examine?	
Considering your conclusions about fact and opinion in your source above, would you describe this source as reliable for use by an historian? Why or why not?		Given all of the data that you've now gathered about this source, would you say this source would be useful to an historian? Why or why not?	
Bibliographical details (including specific internet location if relevant) for this source:			
Bibliographical details (including specific internet location if relevant) for ANY other information you used in this analysis:			

Source Number (circle): 1 2 3 4 5 6 optional optional	Source Type: a b c d other (specify):	Source Creator (author):	Date of creation:
Briefly summarise the content of this source: (What is it about? What does it describe? Who is involved? What places or historical events does it refer to?)		Briefly summarise the context of this source: (Where and when was this source created? Is it a primary or secondary source ? What happened before it was created? What effect did it have?)	
Who was the intended audience of this source? (Who was it delivered to? Who was it created for?)		What was the intended purpose of this source? (What caused it to be created?)	
What in this source is fact ? How do you know? What evidence do you have?		What in this source is opinion ? Is there more than one perspective to examine?	
Considering your conclusions about fact and opinion in your source above, would you describe this source as reliable for use by an historian? Why or why not?		Given all of the data that you've now gathered about this source, would you say this source would be useful to an historian? Why or why not?	
Bibliographical details (including specific internet location if relevant) for this source:			
Bibliographical details (including specific internet location if relevant) for ANY other information you used in this analysis:			